Express Mail Label No. Dated: _____

Docket No.: 03485/100H799-US1

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Anand SUBRAMANIAN, et al.

Application No.: 10/001,772

Art Unit: 3622

Filed: October 31, 2001

Examiner: Raquel Alvarez

For: INTERNET CONTEXTUAL COMMUNICATION

SYSTEM

APPELLANTS' BRIEF ON APPEAL UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 41.37

MS Appeal Brief - Patents
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

05/02/2006 BABRAHA1 00000052 10001772

03 FC:2402

250.00 OP

Dear Sir:

Appellants submit this brief in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 41.37 in support of their appeal from the final rejection in the Office Action, mailed July 13, 2005, and the Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review mailed February 27, 2006, in the above-identified patent application.

The present application has been granted special status (See, Decision on Petition to Make Special, mailed April 14, 2004), accordingly, Appellants request accelerated treatment of this brief.

In accordance with the Pre-Appeal Brief Conference Pilot Program, "[t]he time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing of the decision on the request, or the balance of the two-month period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater." Because the Panel Decision was mailed February 27, 2006, and the Notice of Appeal

Application No.: 10/001,772

was filed January 11, 2006, Applicants submit that they are entitled to one month from the mail date of the decision to file the present Brief with no extension fees (i.e., March 27, 2006). Therefore, Appellants submit that this Appeal Brief is timely filed with the payment of a one-month extension of time fee. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any unpaid fees deemed required in connection with this Appeal Brief, or to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 04-0100.

2

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. §§ 41.31 and 41.37, this brief follows the January 11, 2006 filing of a Notice of Appeal and payment of the required fee. This brief is in support of said Notice of Appeal.

I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest for this appeal is ContextWeb, Inc. The inventors have assigned their rights in and to this application to ContextWeb, Inc., such assignment having been duly recorded.

II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

To appellants' knowledge, there are no other appeals, interferences, or judicial proceedings which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in this appeal.

III. STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 15, 16, 21, 22 and 27-89 are pending in the application.

This appeal is in respect of the rejection of claims 15, 16, 21, 22 and 27-89.

There are 67 claims pending in the application, *i.e.*, claims 15, 16, 21, 22 and 27-89. They are reproduced in the **Claims Appendix**. The current status of the application's claims is as follows:

Application No.: 10/001,772

1. Claims canceled: none;

- 2. Claims withdrawn from consideration but not canceled: 1-14, 17-20 and 23-26;
- 3. Claims 1-14, 17-20 and 23-26 stand withdrawn in response to a telephone Restriction Requirement imposed by the Examiner in charge. The Patent Office Required an election to be made in order to decide whether a Petition to Make Special should be granted.

3

- 4. Claims pending: 15, 16, 21, 22 and 27-89;
- 5. Claims allowed: none;
- 5. Claims rejected: 15, 16, 21, 22 and 27-89.

Claims 15, 16, 21, 22, 27-31, 33-35, 37-89 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,835,087 to Herz et al. ("Herz"). Claims 32 and 36 stand rejected as being unpatentable over Herz in view of the Examiner's statement of what was known in the art at the time of the invention.

The claims on appeal are claims 15, 16, 21, 22 and 27-89.

For the purpose of the present appeal, Appellants request that claims 15, 16, 21, 22 and 27-89 be considered to form a single group.

IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

An Amendment After Final Action, filed December 13, 2005, included amendments to claims 15, 21, 31, 35, 40, 43, 44, 46, 87 and 89. The amendments to claims 15, 21, 31, 35, 40, 43, 44, 46, 87 and 89 were entered by the Advisory Action mailed January 9, 2006.

V. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

The claimed invention is directed to systems and methods for delivering advertisements to "a user viewing content by operating a station connected to a distributed computer network." See claim 15, preamble. Independent claim 15 recites that "the station allow[s] the user to retrieve information containing content," and there is "a data store containing a set of relevancy rules associated with each ad, the rules operable to indicate a level of relevancy of the ad to the content of the information retrieved [by the user]." See claim 15 (emphasis added). Claim 15 further recites that a matchmaker "parses the content of the information [retrieved by the user] into objects," and "targets an ad from the server to the content by applying the relevancy rules in the data store to the objects, free of information about the user." The invention then "sends the targeted ad to the station for display with the content." Thus, the claimed invention determines the relevancy of an advertisement in relation to information retrieved by the user ("free of information about the user"), and displays the advertisement together with the content of the retrieved information at the station. Independent claims 21 and 89 recite similar subject matter.

VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

- 1) Whether claims 15, 16, 21, 22, 27-31, 33-35, 37-89 can properly be rejected as being anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) based on the Herz patent.
- 2) Whether the combination of Herz and the Examiner's statement of what was know in the art at the time of the invention result in the invention of claims 32 and 36 so as to properly reject claims 32 and 36 as being obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

VII. ARGUMENT

Grounds of Rejection No. 1

Herz fails to disclose applying relevancy rules to extracted objects in the content of the information retrieved by a user, so as to target an advertisement to the user. Thus, Herz fails to

Application No.: 10/001,772 5 Docket No.: 03485/100H799-US1

disclose each and every feature of independent claims 15, 21 and 89. See supra, Section V. Therefore, Herz does not anticipate claims 15, 21 and 89, nor their dependent claims.

Herz discloses a system that automatically constructs both a "target profile" for each target object (e.g., a news article, an advertisement, or a coupon) in an electronic media environment, and a "target profile interest summary" for each user. The system then evaluates the target profiles against the user's target profile interest summaries to determine whether a listing of the article or ad is to be sent to the user. See Herz, Abstract. This system operates as a "push" system, meaning that based on a user's prior interests, Herz pushes a list of news articles or advertisements to the user, which are available for potential viewing by the user. The list is not sent together with any content the user has actively sought. The present invention could be styled a "pull and drag" system — i.e., the user goes on the Internet seeking content which is pulled to his work station. The act of pulling certain content implements the claimed invention with the effect of dragging an advertisement, which is relevant to the pulled content, to the work station for display with the content. See Amendment After Final Action, pages 22-23.

The Examiner contends that Herz discloses "that the target profile for the electronic media is based solely on the frequency with [which] the words appear in an article (col. 6, lines 34-46)," and that "[the] first module targets the ads solely on the frequency of the words that appear [in] the article." See July 13, 2005 Final Office Action, item 3, page 3; and item 6, page 5. In the Advisory Action, the Examiner further contends that: "In Herz, a profile for the article is generated and is filtered based on the occurrence/frequency of particular words in the article in order to customize 'electronic identification of additional objects' (col. 55, lines 45-67)."

While the Examiner has described Herz's first module, which generates the "target profile for the electronic media" (e.g., a profile of an advertisement), the Examiner has failed to appreciate that before an advertisement is targeted by Herz's system, the user's profile is determined and the target profile is compared to the user profile. In particular, "a profile processing module which estimates each user's interest in various target objects by reference to the users' target profile interest summaries, for example by comparing the target profiles of these target objects against the

Application No.: 10/001,772

6

search profiles in users' search profile sets, and generates for each user a customized rank-ordered listing of target objects most likely to be of interest to that user." *See* Herz, column 6, lines 42-58 (emphasis added).

Thus, the Examiner is incorrect in stating that Herz's "first module targets ads solely on the frequency of the words that appear on the article." Rather than discerning that Herz requires comparing the ad profile with a user profile in order to perform the targeting operation, the Examiner argues that:

Herz does take the customization a little bit further by additionally further matching the additional objects to a user search profile. Nevertheless, Herz first generated target profile is based on the words contained in the article (col. 55, lines 50-54). The ads or additional content that is going to be presented to the users is based on words frequency presented in the article viewed by the user.

The difficulty with the Examiner's argument is that the claims recite "target[ing] an ad from the server to the content by applying the relevancy rules in the data store to the objects [parsed from the retrieved information], free of information about the user." Herz determines the occurrence of words in the ad, but fails to suggest targeting the ad based on the retrieved information, as opposed to information about the user. Herz discloses that the user's "target profile interest summaries" correspond to topics of interest for the user. "[N]ew articles whose [article] target profiles are closest (most similar) to the closest search profile in a user's search profile set are identified to that user for possible reading." Herz, column 55, lines 64-67. The present claims specifically recite that the relevancy rules are applied to the objects parsed from the content of the information retrieved by the user, and that the relevancy rules are applied "free of information about the user."

Accordingly, Appellants submit that Herz discloses determining the relevancy of an advertisement based on its own content in comparison to a user's profile and sending a list of relevant ads to the user for possible reading. In contrast, the claimed invention determines the relevancy of an advertisement based on "a set of relevancy rules associated with each ad" (where

Application No.: 10/001,772

7

the relevancy rules may or may not depend on the content of the ad) compared to objects extracted from "the content of the information retrieved" ("free of information about the user") and "sends the targeted ad to the station for display with the content."

Further, Herz fails to disclose the claimed feature of a matchmaker that "directly sends the targeted ad to the station for display with the content," as recited in independent claims 15, 21 and 89. In the January 9, 2006 Advisory Action the Examiner attempts to show Herz does display the ad with the retrieved content. The Examiner states:

Herz teaches on Figure 10 that based on the news access [sic] by the user, the **list** of news and ads is presented to the users (1104). The ads presented to the users is [sic] based on the information requested by the users and the profile of the article (Col. 56, lines 53 to col. 57, lines 1-10).

Appellants argue that Herz doesn't teach displaying the targeted ads at the station with the content. The Examiner disagrees with Appellants because in step 1103 based on the news accessed by the user and the profile of the article, a list is presented to the users, step 1105. (Emphasis added.)

The Examiner's own analysis states that "a list is presented to the users." Herz clearly discloses "generat[ing] for each user a customized **rank-ordered listing** of target objects most likely to be of interest to that user." Herz, column 6, lines 56-58 (emphasis added). It is only when the user makes a selection from the list that the article's content is actually received. However, the claimed invention "directly sends the targeted ad to the station for display with the content," where the "user [is] viewing [the] content by operating a station connected to a distributed computer network." (Claim 15; see also claims 21 and 89.)

Further with respect to Fig. 10, Herz discloses that "[w]hen the user requests access to 'news' at step 1102, the profile matching module 203 resident on proxy server S₂ sequentially considers each search profile P_k from the user's search profile set to determine which news articles are most likely of interest to the user." Herz, column 57, lines 23-27. This process is recursively

Application No.: 10/001,772 8 Docket No.: 03485/100H799-US1

executed until articles of interest to the user are identified. Here again, Herz discloses identifying an ad by considering a user's search profile. At step 1104 a list of the identified articles is stored and at a user's request for "news" in general, the list is presented to him. Herz, column 58, lines 27-34 (step 1103 is not identified in the written description). In contrast to "displaying the targeted ads at the station with the content," as recited in the claims, Herz discloses merely presenting a rank-ordered list of possible items for viewing to the user, where the possible items are selected by considering the user's search profile.

In the above-quoted section of the Advisory Action, the Examiner states that the "ads presented to the users [are] based on the information requested by the users," and that step 1103 shows that the list of articles sent to the user is "based on the news accessed by the user." The Examiner's analysis implies that the list of articles is based on the current information retrieved by the user. However, such is not the case and such an operation is not supported by Herz at column 56, lines 53 to column 57 line 10. As described in column 57, lines 11-39, the user logs onto the system and requests suggestions of available content that may be of interest; but, the user does not request any specific content. In response, Herz's system sends the list to the user for consideration. Thus, the user has not retrieved any content, so the list sent to the user is not viewed with content. Further, one cannot read Herz as disclosing that a targeted ad is displayed with the content. Each item in the list is separately selected for viewing by itself.

For the reasons demonstrated above, Appellants submit that Herz does not disclose each and every feature of the claimed invention. Therefore, Herz does not anticipate independent claims 15, 21 and 89, nor their respective base claims.

Grounds of Rejection No. 2

The Examiner's statements of what was known in the prior art merely list (1) monitoring the amount of click-through for an advertisement; and (2) classifying information related to past consumption of prior products or coupons to better target users. Thus, Appellants submit that the

Application No.: 10/001,772 9 Docket No.: 03485/100H799-US1

combination of Herz and the Examiner's statements of what was known in the art at the time of the invention fails to result in invention of claims 32 and 36.

Claims 32 and 36 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herz in view of the Examiner's statement of what was known in the art at the time of the invention. Claims 32 and 36 depend from claim 15. The Examiner contends that the subject matter of dependent claims 32 and 36 is "old and well known in the computer related arts." However, the Examiner's Statement does not provide those features of claims 32 and 36 demonstrated above to be missing from Herz with respect to independent claims 15. Thus, the combination of Herz and the Examiner's Statement does not result in the invention of claims 32 and 36. Therefore, the Examiner has failed to meet the burden of establishing a *prima facie* case of obviousness with respect to claims 32 and 36.

Application No.: 10/001,772 10 Docket No.: 03485/100H799-US1

CONCLUSION

For all of the reasons set forth above, the rejections of claims 15, 16, 21, 22 and 27-89 should be reversed. Appellants respectfully request that the application be remanded to the Primary Examiner with an instruction to withdraw the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102(b) and 103(a), and pass the case to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 27, 2006

Richard J. Katz

Registration No.: 47,698 DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 5257

New York, New York 10150-5257

(212) 527-7700

(212) 527-7701 (Fax)

Attorneys/Agents For Appellant

APPENDIXES

Application No.: 10/001,772 11 Docket No.: 03485/100H799-US1

CLAIMS APPENDIX

The following is a copy of the claims involved in the appeal:

1. (Withdrawn) A method for presenting to a user at a station connected to a distributed computer network, relevant areas of distributed computer network sites, comprising, the steps of:

receiving across the distributed computer network an indication of a mind set of the user in navigating the network, wherein the mind set indicates a navigational goal of the user over the distributed computer network;

cross-referencing the indicated user mind set with a mind set data store of potential user goals to find at least one indicated goal;

cross-referencing the indicated user goal with a service data store of a set of services, the set of services potentially reflecting the navigational goal of the user mind set;

matching the set of services in the cross-referencing step with a list of service providers that provide the set of services that potentially reflect the navigational goal of the user; and,

displaying the list of services and service providers to the user at the station.

- 2. (Withdrawn) A method as in claim 1, further comprising, the step of: offering the user a promotion associated with a service provider that relates to the received user mind set.
- 3. (Withdrawn) A method as in claim 1, wherein the displaying step, further comprises, the step of:

 sending the list to a tool that creates a user interface for the constructed list.
- 4. (Withdrawn) A method as in claim 1, wherein the station is at least one of a personal computer, a pager, a Web-enabled phone, a personal digital assistant (PDA), a pen-based platform, a wireless digital platform, and a voice-based platform.

Application No.: 10/001,772

12

5. (Withdrawn) A method for presenting to a user at a station connected to a distributed computer network, relevant areas of distributed computer network sites, comprising, the steps of:

displaying to the user across the distributed computer network a set of potential user mind sets and a set of potential contextual inferences;

receiving from the user at least one of a user mind set or a contextual inference, wherein the user mind set or contextual inference indicates a navigational goal of the user over the distributed computer network;

sending the user to a new location on the distributed computer network in response to the received user response; and,

presenting to the user at the station a list of service providers in response to the received user response, the list of service providers providing services in accordance with the received user response.

- 6. (Withdrawn) A method as in claim 5, further comprising, the a step of: outlining an activity history that reflects the received user response on a visual display at the station.
- 7. (Withdrawn) A method as in claim 6, further comprising, the step of: recording the activity history electronically.
- 8. (Withdrawn) A method as in claim 7, further comprising, the step of: transmitting the electronically stored activity history.
- 9. (Withdrawn) A method as in claim 8, further comprising using the transmitted electronically stored activity history for a customization of a navigational environment.
 - 10. (Withdrawn) A method as in claim 5, further comprising, the step of:
 offering the user an additional enhancement wherein the additional enhancement
 comprises a promotion associated with a service provider that relates to the received user
 response.

Application No.: 10/001,772 13 Docket No.: 03485/100H799-US1

11. (Withdrawn) A method as in claim 5, wherein the station is at least one of a personal computer, a pager, a Web-enabled phone, a personal digital assistant (PDA), a pen-based platform, a wireless digital platform, and a voice-based platform.

- 12. (Withdrawn) A method as in claim 5, further comprising, the step of: generating a fee to the service provider each time a service associated with the service provider is presented to the user.
- 13. (Withdrawn) A method as in claim 5, further comprising the step of: receiving from the user a selection from the list, the selection being consistent with the navigational goal of the user over the distributed computer network.
- 14. (Withdrawn) A method as in claim 13, further comprising the step of: generating a fee to a service provider each time a user selection associated with the service provider is received from the user.
- 15. (Previously Presented) A system for delivering ads to a user viewing content by operating a station connected to a distributed computer network, comprising:

an ad server which maintains the ads for the user at the station across the distributed computer network, the user station allowing the user to retrieve information containing content;

a data store containing a set of relevancy rules associated with each ad, the rules operable to indicate a level of relevancy of the ad to the content of the information retrieved; and

a match maker that accesses the content retrieved by the user, extracts that content according to its extracting rules, parses the content of the information into objects, and targets an ad from the server to the content by applying the relevancy rules in the data store to the objects, free of information about the user, and that directly sends the targeted ad to the station for display with the content.

Application No.: 10/001,772 14 Docket No.: 03485/100H799-US1

16. (Previously Presented) A system as in claim 15, wherein the station is at least one of a personal computer, a pager, a Web-enabled phone, a personal digital assistant (PDA), a pen-based platform, a wireless digital platform, or a voice-based platform.

17. (Withdrawn) A system for sending targeted services to a user at a station connected to a distributed computer network, comprises:

an object registry that identifies a first set of objects relevant to services provided by a service provider and that maps the first set of objects to the services provided by the service provider; and,

a match maker that parses content in a document, that identifies a second set of objects relevant to the content, that groups the second set of objects relevant to the content, that cross-references the first set of objects with the second set of objects to determine targeted services relevant to both the first and the second set of objects, and that sends the targeted services to the user across the distributed computer network.

- 18. (Withdrawn) A system as in claim 17, wherein the station is at least one of a personal computer, a pager, a Web-enabled phone, a personal digital assistant (PDA), a pen-based platform, a wireless digital platform, and a voice-based platform.
- 19. (Withdrawn) A system for presenting to a user at a station connected to a distributed computer network, relevant computer network sites, comprising:

a mind set data store that stores a set of potential user goals;

a service data store that stores a set of services; and,

a processor that receives from the user an indication of a user mind set in navigating the network, wherein the mind set indicates a navigational goal of the user over the distributed computer network, the processor cross-references the indicated mind set with the potential user goals in the mind set data store, cross-references the indicated user goal with the set of services potentially reflecting the navigational goal of the user, matches the set of cross-referenced services with a list of service providers that provide that set of services, and displays the list of services and service providers to the user at the station.

Application No.: 10/001,772 15 Docket No.: 03485/100H799-US1

20. (Withdrawn) A system as in claim 19, wherein the station is at least one of a personal computer, a pager, a Web-enabled phone, a personal digital assistant (PDA), a pen-based platform, a wireless digital platform, and a voice-based platform.

21. (Previously Presented) A method for presenting to a user viewing content at a station connected to a distributed computer network, relevant areas of distributed computer network sites, comprising the steps of:

maintaining ads for the user at the station across the distributed computer network, the user station allowing the user to retrieve information containing content;

identifying a set of relevancy rules which are used for indicating a level of relevancy of each ad to the content of the information retrieved;

accessing the information retrieved by the user to extract the content according to a set of extracting rules;

parsing the content of the information into objects;

targeting the ads to the content by applying the relevancy rules to the objects, free of information about the user; and

displaying the targeted ads at the station with the content.

- 22. (Previously Presented) A method as in claim 21 wherein the station is at least one of a personal computer, a pager, a Web-enabled phone, a personal digital assistant (PDA), a pen-based platform, a wireless digital platform, and or a voice-based platform.
- 23. (Withdrawn) A method for presenting to a user at a station connected to a distributed computer network, relevant areas of distributed computer network sites, comprising, the steps of: identifying a first set of objects relevant to services provided by a service provider; mapping the first set of objects to the service provided by the service provider; parsing a second set of objects relevant to content in a document; grouping the second set of objects relevant to content in a document; cross-referencing the first set of objects with the second set of objects to determine targeted services; and

Application No.: 10/001,772 16 Docket No.: 03485/100H799-US1

sending targeted services to the user across the distributed computer network.

24. (Withdrawn) A method as in claim 23, wherein the station is at least one of a personal computer, a pager, a Web-enabled phone, a personal digital assistant (PDA), a pen-based platform, a wireless digital platform, and a voice-based platform.

- 25. (Withdrawn) A method as in claim 23, further comprising the step of: generating a fee to the service provider associated with the sent targeted service.
- 26. (Withdrawn) A method as in claim 23, further comprising the step of: receiving from the user a user selection.
- 27. (Previously Presented) A system as in claim 15, wherein the targeted ad is presented to the user in at least one of static text, Hyper Text Markup Language, image, Flash, and or rich media format.
- 28. (Previously Presented) A system as in claim 15, wherein an advertiser has purchased a right to advertise the targeted ads maintained by at least one of the ad server, an ad network, and or an affiliate network.
- 29. (Previously Presented) A system as in claim 15, wherein the objects parsed by the match maker are at least one of a keyword, a key phrase, or a structural relationship of at least one of multiple keywords, multiple key phrases, a keyword with a key phrase, or multiple keywords with multiple key phrases.
- 30. (Previously Presented) A system as in claim 29, wherein said at least one key word, a key phrase, and or structural relationship was purchased by an advertiser for targeted advertising.
- 31. (Previously Presented) A system as in claim 15, wherein the relevancy rules relate to at least one of a keyword, a key phrase or a structural relationship of at least one of multiple keywords, multiple key phrases, a keyword with a key phrase, or multiple keywords with multiple key phrases that was purchased by an advertiser for targeted advertising and wherein the data store stores a price at which said at least one key word, key phrase, or structural relationship was

Application No.: 10/001,772 17 Docket No.: 03485/100H799-US1

purchased or a performance measurement of the targeted ad associated with the purchased at least one key word, key phrase, or structural relationship.

- 32. (Previously Presented) A system as in claim 31, wherein performance is measured by at least one of changes in revenues or click through rates of targeted ads.
- 33. (Previously Presented) A system as in claim 15, wherein the content is a portion of content from a location on the distributed computer network that the user requested to view.
- 34. (Previously Presented) A system as in claim 15, wherein the content is a portion of content from a location on the distributed computer network that the user requested to receive.
- 35. (Previously Presented) A system as in claim 15, wherein the extracting rules enable a classification of the content according to a channel, and wherein a channel is one of an object, a group of objects, a classification of objects or a structural relationship among objects.
- 36. (Previously Presented) A system as in claim 35, wherein the channel into which the content is classified is related to past consumption by users as a consequence of ads that were received and responded to by them.
- 37. (Previously Presented) A system as in claim 35, wherein the channel into which the content is classified is among channels used for existing advertising sales by at least one of an advertiser, an ad network, or an affiliate network.
- 38. (Previously Presented) A system as in claim 15, wherein the match maker parses the content and maps to the targeted ad in real time as the user operates at the station connected to the distributed computer network.
- 39. (Previously Presented) A system as in claim 15, wherein the match maker parses the content and maps to the targeted ad prior to the user operating at the station connected to the distributed computer network.

Application No.: 10/001,772 18 Docket No.: 03485/100H799-US1

40. (Previously Amended) The method of claim 21, wherein the targeted ads belong to an advertiser, and wherein identifying the set of relevancy rules comprises receiving a list of topics from the advertiser.

- 41. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 21, wherein targeting the ads comprises generating a list of topics by analyzing the content of the information retrieved.
- 42. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 21, wherein parsing the particular media content comprises identifying a set of one or more topics by calculating a level of relevancy to the content based on text within the content of the information retrieved.
- 43. (Previously Amended) The method of claim 42, wherein terms in the set of relevancy rules are assigned relevancies based on a frequency with which the terms appear in the text of the content of the information retrieved.
- 44. (Previously Amended) The method of claim 42, wherein terms in the set of relevancy rules are assigned the level of relevancy based on an infrequency with which the terms appear across a collection of ads.
- 45. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 42, wherein the set of one or more topics contains terms whose level of relevancy exceeds a defined threshold.
- 46. (Previously Amended) The method of claim 42, wherein the set of one or more topics includes a defined number of terms with the highest level of relevancy among the terms of the set of relevancy rules.
- 47. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 21, wherein parsing the content of the information retrieved comprises identifying a topic based on other portions of a collection of which the content is a part.
- 48. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 21, wherein parsing the content of the information retrieved comprises identifying a topic based on one or more queries that yield a reference to a targeted ad.

Application No.: 10/001,772

19

49. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 21, wherein the step of parsing the content of the information retrieved comprises:

determining at least one document similar to the content;

supplementing the content of the information retrieved with the content of the similar document; and

analyzing the supplemented content of the information retrieved to identify a topic.

- 50. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 49, wherein determining at least one similar document comprises determining that a document is similar if it contains a reference to the content of the information retrieved.
- 51. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 49, wherein determining at least one similar document comprises determining that a document is similar if the content of the information retrieved contains a reference to the document.
- 52. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 49, wherein supplementing includes replacing at least a portion of the content of the information retrieved with at least a portion of the content of the at least one similar document.
- 53. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 21, wherein step of parsing the content of the information retrieved comprises:

identifying a description of the content used by another document that references the content; and

analyzing the content of the description to identify a topic for the content of the information retrieved.

54. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 21, wherein the step of parsing the content of the information retrieved comprises:

identifying a description of the content used by another document that references the content;

supplementing the content of the information retrieved with the description; and

Application No.: 10/001,772

20

analyzing the supplemented content to identify a topic for the content of the information retrieved.

55. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 21, wherein parsing the content of the information retrieved comprises:

classifying the content into a category; and identifying a list of one or more topics for the content of the information retrieved based on the category.

- 56. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 55, wherein meta-information associated with the content of the information retrieved is used to classify the content into a category.
- 57. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 56, wherein the meta-information includes information from another document that contains a reference to the content of the information retrieved.
- 58. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 56, wherein the meta-information includes information from another document to which the content refers.
- 59. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 58, wherein the information from another document includes meta-information associated with the other document.
- 60. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 21, wherein parsing the content of the information retrieved comprises comparing the content to a topic or a related topic to determine if a match exists between the topic or a related topic and the content of the information retrieved.
- 61. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 53, wherein the related topic is a synonym of the topic.
- 62. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 53, wherein the related topic is conceptually similar to the topic.

Application No.: 10/001,772 21 Docket No.: 03485/100H799-US1

63. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 21, wherein the content is a retrieved web page.

- 64. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 63, wherein parsing the content of the information retrieved comprises: analyzing terms within the web page and including the terms in the set of one or more topics if a frequency with which terms appear in the web page exceeds a threshold value.
- 65. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 64, wherein terms that are related to one or more topics in the set are determined and supplemented so as to include the related terms.
- 66. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 64, wherein parsing the content comprises analyzing terms within a title of the web page and including the terms in the set of one or more topics if the frequency with which terms appear in the title exceeds a threshold value.
- 67. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 64, wherein the step of parsing the content of the information retrieved comprises:

targeting ads for the web page based on text within the web page; and identifying a set of one or more topics based on a relevancy level.

- 68. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 67, wherein terms in the ads are assigned the level of relevancy based on a frequency with which the terms appear in the content of the information retrieved.
- 69. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 67, wherein terms in the targeted ad are assigned the level of relevancy based on the infrequency with which the terms appear across a collection of web pages.
- 70. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 67, wherein the set of one or more topics includes terms whose level of relevancy exceeds a defined value.

Application No.: 10/001,772

71. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 67, wherein the set of one or more topics includes a defined number of terms with the highest level of relevancy among the terms of the targeted ad.

22

72. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 64, wherein the step of parsing the content comprises:

determining at least one similar web page to the retrieved web page;

revising the content of the retrieved web page by supplementing it with the content of the similar web page; and

analyzing the revised content of the retrieved web page to identify a set of one or more topics.

- 73. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 72, wherein supplementing includes replacing at least a portion of the retrieved web page content with at least a portion of the similar web page content.
- 74. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 72, wherein determining at least one similar web page comprises determining that a web page is similar if it contains a link to the retrieved web page.
- 75. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 72, wherein determining at least one similar web page comprises determining that a web page is similar if the retrieved web page contains a link to the similar web page.
- 76. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 72, wherein the web page is contained in a host, and wherein determining at least one similar web page comprises determining that a web page is similar if it is contained within the same host as the retrieved web page.
- 77. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 72, wherein the web page is contained in a host, and wherein determining at least one similar web page comprises determining that a web page is similar if it is stored within a subdirectory of related pages on the same host as the retrieved web page.

78. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 64, wherein the step of parsing the content of the information retrieved comprises:

determining anchor text corresponding to the retrieved web page;

revising the content of the retrieved web page by supplementing it with the anchor text; and

analyzing the revised content of the retrieved web page to identify a set of one or more topics.

- 79. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 78, wherein supplementing includes replacing at least a portion of the retrieved web page content with at least a portion of the anchor text.
- 80. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 78, wherein supplementing includes replacing the retrieved web page content with at least a portion of the anchor text.
- 81. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 64, wherein the step of parsing the content comprises:

classifying the retrieved web page into a category; and identifying a list of one or more topics for the retrieved web page based on the category.

- 82. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 81, wherein meta-information associated with the retrieved web page is used to classify the retrieved web page into a category.
- 83. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 82, wherein the meta-information includes information from another document that contains a reference to the retrieved web page.
- 84. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 82, wherein the information from another document includes meta-information associated with the other document.
- 85. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 82, wherein the meta-information includes anchor text corresponding to the retrieved web page.

Application No.: 10/001,772 24 Docket No.: 03485/100H799-US1

86. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 64, wherein the advertisement belongs to an advertiser, and wherein identifying targeting information comprises receiving a set of one or more topics from the advertiser.

- 87. (Previously Amended) The method of claim 64, wherein identifying targeting information comprises applying the relevancy rules in the data store to one or more topics based on the objects parsed from the content.
- 88. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 64, wherein identifying targeting information comprises generating a set of one or more topics for the advertisement based on text of queries on a search engine that yield a result that links to a web page on a web site to which the advertisement links.
- 89. (Previously Amended) A system for delivering ads to a user viewing content by operating a station connected to a computer network, comprising:

a server for storing the ads for delivery to the user operating the station connected to the computer network, the user station allowing the user to retrieve information containing content;

a memory containing a set of relevancy rules associated with an ad, said relevancy rules operable to indicate a level of relevancy of the ad to the content of the information; and

a module for accessing the information retrieved by the user, extracting that content based on extracting rules, parsing the content of the information into objects and corresponding attributes, and targeting the ad to the content by applying the relevancy rules in the memory to the objects, free of information about the user, and directly sending the targeted ad to the station for display with the content.

Application No.: 10/001,772 25 Docket No.: 03485/100H799-US1

EVIDENCE APPENDIX

All evidence is in the record.

Application No.: 10/001,772 26 Docket No.: 03485/100H799-US1

RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

There are no related proceedings for this matter.